



The Audit Plan for Watford Borough Council

Year ended 31 March 2014

12 June 2014

Paul Dossett

Engagement Lead

T +44 (0)207 728 3180
E paul.dossett@uk.gt.com

Richard Lawson


Manager

T 07766 442038
E richard.lawson@uk.gt.com

Ronald Kiwanuka

Audit Executive

T +44 (0)207 383 3287
E ronald.kiwanuka@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Council or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Contents

Section

1. Developments relevant to your business and the audit
2. Our audit approach
3. Significant risks identified
4. Other risks
5. Programme of interim work
6. Value for Money
7. Key dates
8. Fees and independence
9. Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance


Developments relevant to your business and the audit

In planning our audit we also consider the impact of key developments in the sector and take account of national audit requirements as set out in the Code of Audit Practice ('the code') and associated guidance.

Developments and other requirements					
1. Financial reporting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changes to the CIPFA Code of Practice Clarification of Code requirements around PPE valuations Changes to NNDR accounting and provisions for business rate appeals 	2. Legislation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local Government Finance settlement Welfare Reform Act 2012 	3. Corporate governance <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Governance Statement (AGS) Explanatory foreword 	4. Pensions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The impact of 2013/14 changes to the Local Government pension Scheme (LGPS) 	5. Financial Pressures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Managing service provision with less resource Progress against savings plans 	6. Other requirements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Council is required to submit a Whole of Government accounts pack on which we provide an audit opinion The Council completes grant claims and returns on which audit certification is required

Our response					
<p>We will ensure that</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Council complies with the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice and business rate appeals through discussions with management and our substantive testing the Council complies with the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice regarding the valuation of property plant and equipment through substantive testing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We will discuss the impact of the legislative changes with the Council through our regular meetings with senior management and those charged with governance, providing a view where appropriate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We will review the arrangements the Council has in place for the production of the AGS We will review the AGS and the explanatory foreword to consider whether they are consistent with our knowledge 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We will review how the Council dealt with the impact of the 2013/14 changes through our meetings with senior management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We will review the Council's performance against the 2013/14 budget, including consideration of performance against the savings plan We will undertake a review of Financial Resilience as part of our VFM conclusion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We will carry out work on the WGA pack in accordance with requirements We will certify grant claims and returns in accordance with Audit Commission requirements

Our audit approach



Significant risks identified

'Significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgmental matters. Non-routine transactions are transactions that are unusual, either due to size or nature, and that therefore occur infrequently. Judgmental matters may include the development of accounting estimates for which there is significant measurement uncertainty' (ISA 315).

In this section we outline the significant risks of material misstatement which we have identified. There are two presumed significant risks which are applicable to all audits under auditing standards (International Standards on Auditing – ISAs) which are listed below:

Significant risk	Description	Substantive audit procedures
The revenue cycle includes fraudulent transactions	Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.	Work planned: <ul style="list-style-type: none">•Review and testing of revenue recognition policies•Testing of material revenue streams
Management over-ride of controls	Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities.	Work planned: <ul style="list-style-type: none">•Review of accounting estimates, judgments and decisions made by management•Testing of journal entries•Review of unusual significant transactions

Other risks identified

The auditor should evaluate the design and determine the implementation of the entity's controls, including relevant control activities, over those risks for which, in the auditor's judgment, it is not possible or practicable to reduce the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level to an acceptably low level with audit evidence obtained only from substantive procedures (ISA 315).

In this section we outline the other risks of material misstatement which we have identified as a result of our planning.

Other risks	Description	Work performed at interim stage	Further work planned
Operating expenses	Creditors understated or not recorded in the correct period	<ul style="list-style-type: none">A walkthrough of the system has been performed with no issues arising.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Test a sample of 60 items for occurrence, allocation and pricing. The sample will be selected from books of prime entry to ensure expenditure is properly recorded in the accounts.
Employee remuneration	Employee remuneration accrual understated	<ul style="list-style-type: none">A walkthrough of the system has been performed with no issues arising..	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Controls testing on IT controls over starters and leaversPay recorded at the correct rateAttribute sample testing of 60 employees from the HMRC return to staff records for validity and completeness
Welfare Expenditure	Welfare benefit expenditure improperly computed	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No work is planned for the interim stage of the audit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Carry out analytics based on trend analysis.Analysis of predictive analytical review tool based on Department for Work and Pensions ['DWP'] statistics.Performance of the annual up rating of system parameters.Completion of the housing benefit testing modules.
Property, Plant & Equipment	PPE activity not valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No work is planned for the interim stage of the audit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Carry out substantive testing of fixed asset additions
Property, Plant & Equipment	Revaluation measurement not correct	<ul style="list-style-type: none">A walkthrough of the system has been performed with no issues arising..	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Review significant revaluation movements and assess the assumptions employed by the valuer.Evaluate the work of an expert in determining the appropriateness of the valuation.

Value for money

Value for money

The Code requires us to issue a conclusion on whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. This is known as the Value for Money (VfM) conclusion.

Our VfM conclusion is based on the following criteria specified by the Audit Commission:

VfM criteria	Focus of the criteria
The organisation has proper arrangements in place for securing financial resilience	The organisation has robust systems and processes to manage financial risks and opportunities effectively, and to secure a stable financial position that enables it to continue to operate for the foreseeable future
The organisation has proper arrangements for challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness	The organisation is prioritising its resources within tighter budgets, for example by achieving cost reductions and by improving efficiency and productivity

We will undertake a risk assessment of the Council's VfM arrangements to identify areas of risk to our VfM conclusion.


The results of our VfM audit work and the key messages arising will be reported in our Audit Findings report and in the Annual Audit Letter.

Results of interim audit work

The programme of our interim audit work is summarised in the table below:

Area	Work planned/performed
Internal audit	<p>We have reviewed internal audit's overall arrangements in accordance with auditing standards. Our work has not identified any issues which we wish to bring to your attention.</p> <p>We also reviewed internal audit's work on the Council's key financial systems to date. We have not identified any significant weaknesses impacting on our responsibilities.</p>
Walkthrough testing	<p>We have completed walkthrough tests of controls operating in areas where we consider that there is a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements.</p> <p>Our work has not identified any issues which we wish to bring to your attention. Internal controls have been implemented in accordance with our documented understanding.</p>
Review of information technology controls	<p>Work to be performed on the Council's IT controls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•Our information systems specialist will perform a high level review of the general IT control environment, as part of the overall review of the internal controls system.•We will perform a follow up of the issues that were raised last year.
Journal entry controls	<p>We will be reviewing the Council's journal entry policies and procedures as part of determining our journal entry testing strategy.</p>
Value for money	<p>We have performed an initial risk assessment of the Council's value for money arrangements, of which no issues are to be reported. We will complete our detailed risk assessment at the final year end stage of the audit and report any issues to the September audit committee.</p>

Key dates



Date	Activity
February 2014	Planning
April 2014	Interim site visit
June 2014	Presentation of audit plan to Audit Committee
July 2014	Year end fieldwork
August 2014	Audit findings clearance meeting with the Director of Finance.
September 2014	Report audit findings to those charged with governance - Audit Committee
September 2014	Sign financial statements opinion

Fees and independence

Fees

	£
Council audit	68,400
Grant certification	12,600
Total fees (excluding VAT)	81,000

Fees for other services

Service	Fees £
None	-

Our fee assumptions include:

- Supporting schedules to all figures in the accounts are supplied by the agreed dates and in accordance with the agreed upon information request list
- The scope of the audit, and the Council and its activities, have not changed significantly
- The Council will make available management and accounting staff to help us locate information and to provide explanations

Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards and therefore we confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Full details of all fees charged for audit and non-audit services will be included in our Audit Findings report at the conclusion of the audit.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirement of the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards.

Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance

International Standards on Auditing (ISA) 260, as well as other ISAs, prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table opposite.

This document, The Audit Plan, outlines our audit strategy and plan to deliver the audit, while The Audit Findings will be issued prior to approval of the financial statements and will present key issues and other matters arising from the audit, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

We will communicate any adverse or unexpected findings affecting the audit on a timely basis, either informally or via a report to the Council.

Respective responsibilities

This plan has been prepared in the context of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission (www.audit-commission.gov.uk).

We have been appointed as the Council's independent external auditors by the Audit Commission, the body responsible for appointing external auditors to local public bodies in England. As external auditors, we have a broad remit covering finance and governance matters.

Our annual work programme is set in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') issued by the Audit Commission and includes nationally prescribed and locally determined work. Our work considers the Council's key risks when reaching our conclusions under the Code.

It is the responsibility of the Council to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Council is fulfilling these responsibilities.

Our communication plan	Audit plan	Audit findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	✓	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. Form, timing and expected general content of communications	✓	
Views about the qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting and financial reporting practices, significant matters and issue arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		✓
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	✓	✓
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence.	✓	✓
Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged.		
Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence		
Material weaknesses in internal control identified during the audit		✓
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or others which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		✓
Non compliance with laws and regulations		✓
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter		✓
Uncorrected misstatements		✓
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		✓
Significant matters in relation to going concern		✓



© 2014 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk